

Attachment to Independent Case Review Report
For CDRU # 6329 Case file # 7-17993 .

Material Examiner: Malone (RO)

Remarks:

Guilty plea, no transcript available.

CRM - 3715

INDEPENDENT CASE REVIEW REPORT

Independent Review conducted by: Steve Robertson

Area(s) of Expertise: Hair and Fiber

Review commenced at: 1:30 PM (Time), 03/14/2001 (Date)

File #: 7-17993

Laboratory #(s): 11006048 30204076
11021084 30211003
20820037

Examiner(s) & Symbols

	Reviewed	Not Reviewed	Reviewed	Not Reviewed
<u>RQ</u>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<u></u>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<u></u>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Materials Reviewed

Trial testimony transcript(s) of: _____

Testimony Date(s): _____ Pages: _____

Laboratory Report(s):

Laboratory Number: 11006048/11021084 Date: Oct 30, 1981
Laboratory Number: 20820037 Date: Aug 20, 1982
Laboratory Number: 30204076/30211003 Date: March 14, 1983

Examiner Bench Notes of: RQ

Laboratory Number: 11006048/11021084
20820037
30204076/30211003

Page 1 of 3

Initials: SRR

CRM - 3716

Was any other material reviewed? Yes No

If yes, please identify and/or describe the material: Submitting agency letters (dated 9-30-81, 1-31-83 and 2-7-83)

Results of Review

File #: 7-17993 Item or Specimen # Reviewed: Q1, K125, K20, Q201, Q92, Q263, K159, K160, K129

Review of Laboratory Report(s) and Bench Notes:

Note: Numbered comments are required below or on additional pages for any "No" or "Unable to Determine" Responses

- 1) Did the examiner perform the appropriate tests in a scientifically acceptable manner, based on the methods, protocols, and analytic techniques available at the time of the original examination(s)?
 Yes No Unable to Determine
- 2) Are the examination results set forth in the laboratory report(s) supported and adequately documented in the bench notes?
 Yes No Unable to Determine

Review of Testimony:

Note: Numbered comments are required below or on additional pages for any "No" or "Unable to Determine" Responses

Transcript not available.

- 3) Testimony consistent with the laboratory report(s)? Yes No Unable to Determine
- 4) Testimony consistent with the bench notes? Yes No Unable to Determine
- 5) Testimony within bounds of examiner's expertise? Yes No Unable to Determine

Page 2 of 3

Initials: SM

Comments

(Set forth by above question #, if applicable.
Use "Additional Comments" Sheet, if needed)

File #: 7-17993

#1: With microscopic hair comparison, even with the best notes, there is no way to determine the comparison was performed correctly.

#2: The examination results set forth in the laboratory report are supported by the bench notes, but the documentation is marginally adequate. The notes are not dated or initialed and are in pencil. RQ uses abbreviations to indicate the microscopic characteristics of the hair. These abbreviations are difficult to interpret.

Review completed at: 2:15 PM (Time), 03/14/2001 (Date)

Total time spent conducting review (to nearest 1/4 hour): 0:45 hours

I hereby certify that I conducted this review in an independent, unbiased manner and that the results of my review are fully documented on this report consisting of a total of 3 pages.

Steve Roberts

(Signature)

03/14/2001

(Date)

Page 3 of 3

Initials: SR